

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 07/11/2003 Michael D. Gandrud P06591US0 4246 10/617,990 **EXAMINER** 34082 09/13/2005 7590 ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C. LOPEZ, FRANK D **CAPITAL SQUARE** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 400 LOCUST, SUITE 200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350 3745

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|--|
| | 10/617,990 | GANDRUD, MICHAEL D. | |
| ı | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | F. Daniel Lopez | 3745 | |

| before the filling of all Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | F. Daniel Lopez | 3745 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| HE REPLY FILED <u>31 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | • | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | |
| extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| . The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | |
| _ appeal; and/or | tion form to appear by materially to | adomig or ouripmying | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| . Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\sum \) will not be entered, or b) \(\sum \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13-18. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a | | |
| 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | |
| 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 13. | , | Manuffy F. Daniel Lopez | | | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3745 | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that Gollner discloses a digital computer that sends only a switch-on or switch-off output to a two position valve (21) and so the valve only permits a fully open or fully closed flow condition and does not provide proportionally regulating the flushing flow. Applicant is partially mistaken. Gollner discloses that the "amount of hydraulic flow to be flushed out results from the switch-on time and the size of the orifice 22 (fig 3)...The switch-on time can be controlled as desired by means of the digital computer 1, i.e. from 0 to 100% of the time, by modulating the switch-on time and the switch-off time (column 5 line 10-16). Applicant's statement that the computer sends only a switch-on or switch-off output to a two position valve (21) and so the valve only permits a fully open or fully closed flow condition is clearly wrong. As discussed in Fluid Power Design Handbook, the use of switch-on time between 0 and 100% results in the valve having an effective condition anywhere between fully closed to fully open.

Applicant continues to argue that Fluid Power Design Handbook does not have the words "a proportional solenoid valve and a modulated on-off valves are functionally equivalent", and therefore they are not functionally equivalent. Applicant is mistaken. There are many statements in Fluid Power Design Handbook that indicates the equivalence of the proportional solenoid valve and a modulated on-off valves. For example, it states that the modulated on-off valves "establishes an average flow (or pressure build-up)" (page 82 paragraph 4) and that proportional solenoid valves "can adjust either flow or pressure" (page 82 paragraph 6). One of ordinary skill would recognize that this means they are functionally equivalent..